

The business case for optimizing the nursing workforce

By Lillie Gelinas, Editor-in-Chief

In this special section, “Preparing the Workforce—Today and Tomorrow,” you’ll find trends, practical strategies, and food for thought. The articles are designed to help nurses in all specialties and at all levels who face myriad workforce challenges, ranging from a growing older population to the impending retirement of many experienced nurses.

For my own contribution, I’d like to focus on the business case for an optimal nursing workforce. A critical success factor for healthcare organizations is the efficient use and retention of a talented workforce contributing to clinical and operational excellence.

Laying the groundwork for success

Leading performance organizations use a multidisciplinary team whose members understand the urgency of addressing current workforce issues, such as staffing and scheduling systems, professional development, innovative work design, and recruitment and retention programs centering on multigenerational challenges.

A successful future demands implementation of proven people, processes, and technology solutions to improve operational and clinical performance. These include:

- organizational strategies that align with licensure and labor



Even though labor is the largest expense, having a top-notch workforce makes good business sense.

regulations, to ensure deployment of the right staff at the right time for the right patient

- nurse manager roles that are optimized for patient and employee experience oversight; for example, automation of staffing and scheduling responsibilities
- use of workforce data to develop long-term clinical improvement strategies.

Making the business case

Labor remains the largest expense for healthcare organizations. But labor’s ability to affect a hospital’s success extends far beyond the bounds of a profit-and-loss statement. A growing body of evidence supports the workforce’s impact on physician, staff, and patient satisfaction—and ultimately, on revenue and quality of care. Optimizing workforce management also promotes operational and clinical excellence in ways not always considered, such as staff morale improvement to promote quality of care.

Here’s a closer look at the data and research findings related to business aspects of three key workforce elements: the link between overtime and both turnover and injury, the impact of agency nursing, and the need to free managers from outdated systems.

Overtime reality

For most hospitals, nursing overtime is the rule, not the exception. According to industry surveys, more than 50% of full-time nurses work an average of 7 hours of overtime each week, and 15% of part-time nurses work an average of 5.4 hours of overtime weekly. With this pattern, 7% to 10% of total worked hours are overtime hours. From a business perspective, overtime has several negative consequences.

Increased turnover

Turnover is an ongoing challenge. Nurses who work more than 12 hours in a shift and 40 hours in a week are prone to job dissatisfaction and quitting. Nurses who work shifts longer than 12 hours are nearly 1.5 times more likely to leave their positions within a year than nurses who don't. Because 12-hour shifts are becoming the norm and with nearly half of full-time nurses logging overtime, unmanaged overtime can accelerate costly turnover.

What's more, replacing nurses who leave is expensive. In research initially published in the *Journal of Nursing Administration* in 2008, researcher Cheryl Bland Jones, PhD, RN, FAAN, outlined what is now considered valid data and used as industry norms related to the cost of RN turnover: \$82,032 per experienced registered nurse (RN) and \$88,006 per new RN.

Staff injuries

Working in jobs with overtime schedules is associated with a 61% higher injury hazard rate than working in jobs without overtime. Working at least 12 hours per day is linked to a 37% increased injury rate—and with 50% of full-time nurses falling into overtime buckets, hospital exposure to injuries increases. Alarming, healthcare workers' injury rates are almost twice those of the norm, and injury costs hit the organization's bottom line.

Patients in jeopardy

A deep body of literature has established a significant link between overtime and patient safety. Currently, most organizations are unable to track these correlations. As nursing shifts lengthen, so do the chances of medical errors. An often-cited study by Rogers, et al. found that the odds of making an error are three times higher when

The business case for nurse satisfaction

A survey conducted by the Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHPS) measures patients' satisfaction with the hospital care they received. Results can affect reimbursement, so patient satisfaction is a core competency for hospitals. Nurses not only are on the frontline of patient satisfaction, but they're also commonly the "face" of the hospital for patients. Research has established a link between nurse satisfaction and patient satisfaction.

A 2011 study in *Health Affairs* found the percentage of patients who would definitely recommend a hospital to loved ones decreased 2% for every 10% of nurses who expressed job dissatisfaction. Also, nurses who are more satisfied with their jobs are more likely to have patients who report a better hospital experience, and hospitals where nurses work more than 13 hours per shift are more likely to have patients who rate the hospital less than 7 out of 10 on the HCAHPS survey.

nurses work more than 12.5 hours.

A multistate study by Bae confirmed the greater likelihood of errors in nurses who surpass a 40-hour work week. These nurses were 3.71 times more likely to make medication errors. Clearly, such incidents are linked to decreased quality of care. Based on average costs and incidence, financial exposure stemming from medical errors runs upwards of \$30 million per year for a 300-bed hospital, much of it unreimbursed.

Use of agency nurses

On average, agency nurses represent 5% to 10% of the entire nursing force and may earn 50% to 75% more per hour than the average nurse. Annually, a 300-bed hospital is likely to spend more than \$4.5 million for agency staff. Although agencies fill a critical need, many organizations have been able to dramatically reduce their use of agency nurses through electronic scheduling systems and their own per-diem pools. Limiting use of agency nurses also can help improve staff satisfaction and, ultimately, patient satisfaction. (See *The business case for nurse satisfaction*.)

Freeing nurse managers

Scheduling and bed manage-

ment are complex and time-consuming for managers. A recent KRONOS study found nurse managers spend up to 80% of their day on staffing, scheduling, and bed management, done mostly with paper-based systems. This time competes with efforts to raise quality, prevent harmful events, manage costs, and improve the patient experience.

Across a typical 300-bed hospital, liberating nurse managers from an outdated scheduling process can free up to 6,000 nurse-manager hours annually. Nurse managers can repurpose this time for higher-order professional activities, such as mentoring, teaching, and interfacing with patients and their families to ensure highly reliable and consistent care.

Only the beginning

I've only made a dent in the data related to the business case for optimizing the nursing workforce, but I hope it's enough for you to see that a top-notch workforce makes good business sense. ■

Lillee Gelinas is Editor-in-Chief of *American Nurse Today* and system vice president and chief nursing officer of Clinical Excellence Services at CHRISTUS Health in Irving, Texas.

Visit www.AmericanNurseToday.com/Archives.aspx for a list of selected references.